Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

24

23

25 26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

ME2 PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff.

v.

MADALYNN ALEXANDER, an individual; JAMES STEWART, an individual; ANNA TYSELING, an individual; KYLE FELLERS, an individual; DMITRIY MIRONENKO, an individual; ARTHUR DYACHK, and individual; ARIELLE RADELET, an individual; LEXUS VANG, an individual; MARIA NARANJO, an individual; and MICHAEL ORME, an individual,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 17-cv-1221RSL

JOINT STATUS REPORT

The parties respectfully submit this Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan in response to the Court's November 9, 2017 Order. (Dkt. 41).

1. Statement of the Nature and Complexity of the Case

Plaintiff alleges it is a developer and producer of the motion picture *Mechanic:* Resurrection ("motion picture"). Plaintiff brought this action allegedly to stop Defendant and others from copying and distributing to others unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's copyrighted

LOWE GRAHAM JONES ...

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4800 Seattle, Washington 98104 206.381.3300 • F: 206.381.3301

26

motion picture through the BitTorrent file sharing protocol. Plaintiff has accused Defendant of infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights protected under 17 US.C. § 101 et seq. Defendant is the sole remaining party from the original group of Does in this case. Defendant has answered and denied Plaintiff's claims and allegations.

2. Deadline for Joining Additional Parties

The parties propose that additional parties be joined by March 5, 2018.

3. Referral to Magistrate Judge

The Parties do not consent to referral of this matter to a full-time magistrate judge.

4. The existence of any related cases pending in this or other jurisdictions and a proposal for how to handle them

The parties are not aware of any related cases, apart from what has been previously noted in the pleadings of record, including previously dismissed Case No. 17-cv-694RSL.

5. FRCP 26(a)(1) initial disclosures

Plaintiff timely served its initial disclosures on November 22, 2017.

Defendant will serve his initial disclosures by Friday, December 15, 2017.

6. Timing or form of expert and pretrial disclosures under FRCP 26(a)(2)-(4)

The parties do not propose any changes.

7. Subjects, timing and potential phasing of discovery

Without waiving any objections to the relevance or admissibility of information and documents implicated by the description of topics, Plaintiff believes that the subject matter of its discovery may include the following:

 Copyright application and registration materials and any assignments or transfers of copyright ownership

25

26

- Correspondence and other documents relating to communications between the parties
- Computer hardware and router used with IP address, or information pertaining to its spoliation
- Identification of individuals given access to the IP address during the relevant period(s) of time
- Claims asserted and defenses raised by the parties
- Damages

Plaintiff does not believe that discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused upon particular issues.

Plaintiff believes that a Protective Order under FRCP 26(c) or comparable agreement may be required to limit the disclosure of confidential, commercially sensitive information and documents such as financial and customer records.

The parties will work together to minimize discovery disputes. Parties have agreed to service via email, with response dates extended by three days per FRCP 6. The agreement on email service provides an alternative acceptable form of service in addition to the other traditional forms of service (e.g., mail, hand delivery) that are provided for under the Rules. Should any email with attachments exceed 10 MB in size, the Parties have agreed to either (a) divide the attachments into separate emails of no more than 10 MB each, or (b) serve using one of the other traditional forms of service.

8. Preservation of discoverable information

The parties have discussed the need to preserve discoverable information.

9. Electronically stored information ("ESI")

The Parties agree to abide by the Court's Model Protocol for discovery of ESI.

23

24

25

26

10. Privilege issues

Plaintiff does not believe that privilege logs should be exchanged for any claimed privilege materials created after commencement of the lawsuit.

11. Inadvertent disclosure of privileged information

The Parties agree that any privileged document(s) that is/are inadvertently sent by one party to the opposing party will be promptly destroyed by the party receiving the privileged document(s) or promptly returned to the sending party upon notice and request of the sending party and that the receiving party will not use any of the privileged information contained in said document(s) in any way.

12. Changes to limitations on discovery

The parties do not anticipate that discovery will be overly burdensome on either party and, therefore, do not have any proposed limitations on discovery at this time. Accordingly, the Parties intend to abide by the limitations and procedures for discovery set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

13. Date for completion of discovery

The parties believe that fact discovery can be completed by September 21, 2018.

14. Suggestions for the prompt and efficient resolution of the case

The parties believe that early ADR would be most effective.

15. Alternative dispute resolution

The parties believe that mediation is the preferred ADR method.

16. Ready for trial

The parties believe that the case will be ready for trial February 2019.

- 1	l .			
1	17. Trial by jury			
2		A jury trial has been requested.		
3	18. Number of trial days required			
4		The parties anticipate that trial on this matter will require 2-3 court days.		
5				
6	19.	Disclosure statements		
7		Plaintiff filed its FRCP 7.1 and LCR 7.1 statement on August 11, 2017. (Dkt. 4)		
8	DATI	DATED December 11, 2017.		
9		LD December 11, 2017.	Centree 11, 2017.	
10	s/ Da	David A. Lowe, WSBA No. 24,453	s/ Daniel A. Brown, WSBA No. 22,028	
11		Lowe@LoweGrahamJones.com WE GRAHAM JONES ^{PLLC}	dbrown@williamskastner.com WILLIAMS KASTNER & GIBBSPLLC	
12	701	Fifth Avenue, Suite 4800	601 Union Street, Suite 4100	
13	T: 20	ttle, WA 98104 206.381.3300	Seattle, WA 98101 T: 206.628.6600	
14	F: 206.381.3301		F: 206.628.6611	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff		Attorneys for Defendant	
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				